

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> September 28, 2015
<b>Received:</b> September 24, 2015
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 1jz-8lbb-8752
<b>Comments Due:</b> September 24, 2015
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term ‘Fiduciary’; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement Investment Advice; Notice of proposed rulemaking and withdrawal of previous proposed rule.

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0050-0204

Definition of the Term Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule- Retirement Investment Advice

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-7993

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-08831

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Farrell McGoohan

---

## General Comment

To: e-OED

Subject: DOL Limiting Choices in IRAs

Dear Department Of Labor:

Recently, I became aware of a proposed rule that would undermine my ability to plan for my retirement in ways I believe best for me, and I want to share my concerns with you.

My understanding is that the Department of Labor's (DOL's) proposed retirement rule would limit my ability to trade listed options in my IRA. It's a limitation that that is misguided.

I have traded options in my IRA, just as I have in non-retirement brokerage accounts, and I had to qualify for this privilege. Education and support from my brokerage firm is available to me 24-7 to answer questions or validate ideas. I can join online communities, take courses, watch instructional videos, test strategies, or speak to a representative any time I want.

This proposed rule would change all of that by making my brokerage firm a fiduciary for my IRA - responsible for looking out for my best interests - if it provides any research, tools or education that the rule views as "advice." My account in its current state would likely be considered an "advised IRA," and as I understand the rule, options trading would be prohibited in it.

In order to trade options in my IRA, my brokerage firm would likely be required to remove most research, tools and educational resources so my account would not be considered an "advised IRA." Or, my firm could convert my account to a more expensive investment advisory one. Neither appeals to me.

With this proposed rule, it seems the government has determined that people are not smart enough to make their own informed investment decisions. I strongly disagree. Saving for retirement is difficult enough. Why add

more obstacles and complexity? I urge you to please preserve the freedoms investors currently enjoy to choose how we invest in our retirement accounts and plan for a better financial tomorrow.

Sincerely,

Farrell McGoohan